

Appendix A2: Officer recommendation of 'no change'

	Comments arising at the 14th March 2011 LDF Working Group Meeting	Officers Response
1.	<p>Section 3.8:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The 1st bullet point of the 'Flood Plain Characteristics – Past Flood Events' section has missed off the 2004 floods. 	<p>The records of maximum River Ouse levels since 2000 have been re-checked. The maximum level reached in 2004 (4.07m Above Sea Level (ASL)), besides being exceeded in 2000, was also exceeded every year from 2005 to 2009. All of the events since 2000 have been routine in terms of response, none significant. No change recommended.</p>
2.	<p>Question the key messages section on page 48. Blue Beck has not flooded since 2000 and query whether surface water flooding and from smaller watercourses such as Burdyke and Tang Hall Back is 'common'.</p>	<p>The key message is taken from 'The Ouse Catchment Flood Management Plan (CFMP) (July 2010)'. The City of York Council have agreed this wording with the Environment Agency and therefore should not be altered. No change recommended.</p>
3.	<p>The Environment Agency's flood maps are questioned as potentially overly cautious especially near Rawcliffe Lake. This area for example should not be within Flood Zone 2 (Paragraph 3.8.9).</p>	<p>The Environment Agency maps are considered to be robust. No change recommended.</p>

	Comments received after the 14th March 2011 LDF Working Group Meeting	Officers Response
1.	<p>Why are there no floor levels or flood resilience measures in Paragraph 4.1.112?</p>	<p>Paragraph 4.1.112 relates to Zone 3b (The Functional Floodplain) it is therefore unnecessary to put in these measures in this zone due to development opportunities being limited. No change recommended.</p>